Project Executive Board — ANATON INJUDIES

27 September 2011

Centre for Constitutional Dialogue (CCD)

Present

Chair Robert Piper, Resident Representative

Sr. Beneficiary Netra Timsina, President, NGO Federation

Project Assurance Kalpana Sarkar, Programme Officer, Governance Unit/UNDP

Project Manager/OIC Rohan Edrisinha, International Project Manager, SPCBN

Others Present Jorn Sorensen, Deputy Country Director, UNDP

Krishna Khanal, CCD Director Bandana Risal, Senior Programme Specialist, Governance Unit/UNDP

Maria Ana Petrera, Deputy Head of Mission, Danish Embassy Charlotte Duncan, Governance Team Leader, DFID Lars P Christensen, Programme Coordinator, DANIDA HUGOU

Thakur Prasad Baral, Secretary, CA Secretariat

Bishnu Adhikari, Governance Advisor, ESP-DFID

Martin Stuerzinger, Advisor for Peace Building, Swiss Embassy

- (October December 2011) work plan, and the tentative workplan and budget for 2012. meeting. The main item on the agenda was to discuss the recent political developments and the way Robert Piper opened the meeting with introductions and outlined the purpose and context of the review the progress of the project from July to August 2011, the next three month
- Krishna Khanal made a brief presentation on the current political situation and the constitution making process.
- Rohan Edrisinha made a presentation on the activities of the project since the last Project Executive the end of the year. Board meeting, the status of the constitution making process and the priorities of the project until
- = the constitution making process via the Constituent Assembly and suggested that the project should try to ensure that the process succeeds for failure might have serious consequences. He added that He noted that there was a growing number of skeptics who were questioning the appropriateness of the project believes and plans to conduct its activities on the assumption that there will be another

around May 2012. consultation and feedback once a draft is produced, and that a constitution would be produced by extension of the deadline for the adoption of the constitution in November 2011 for at least three that there will be a period, perhaps shorter than originally envisaged, for public

- and CCDdeadline, the limitations on funding, and the deliberations at the previous PEB meetings, he said constitution making process by providing a forum for the exchange of ideas and a space Mr. Edrisinha stated that some difficult decisions about the future of CCD would have to be made that with regret the project is compelled to propose the following options for the future of SPCBN resource resource particularly for disempowered and marginalized groups to discuss/debate their issues. The He said that it was important to note that CCD had made a distinctive contribution to of CCD has been mostly used by those groups. However, given the extension of the and
- 1) Closing down CCD by the end of December 2011. The important activities of CCD will continue in the name of SPCBN from January-June 2012.
- 2) a cheaper place. A downsized group of SPCBN and CCD staff will leave the current CCD premises and move to
- ω The project will reduce the number of its staff, both local and international, by 36%
- 4 The project will officially end by the end of June 2012. A 3 month winding up period with a skeleton staff will end in September 2012

and constitutional best practice. consultation programme if and when a draft constitution was produced before June 2012. The project contentious constitutional issues and have a separate the last 3 months of 2011 with a focus on facilitating accommodation and reaching consensus on During the period of January - June 2012, the project will engage in similar work to that proposed for would also engage in a study and critique of the draft to ensure compatibility with international norms contingency plan and budget for a public

Discussion took place both during and after the presentations.

the need for a quick review of the Draft Constitution and support for CA in Public Consultation once the public demand and the pressure from different sectors like the business community, media, NGOs etc draft was produced demand. He supported the plan of activities of the project proposed for 2011 and 2012. about the delivery of the Constitution. He said project should use its resources to help stimulate such a Constituent assembly process succeeded. He added that the political parties should feel much stronger P Christensen stressed the importance of the project doing all it could to ensure that the He agreed on

implementation. He supported the decision to close CCD and agreed that there was a need to discuss how the community could support the post constitution challenges of transition and

human resources strategies that would avoid misunderstanding later. added that there had to be a proper exit strategy before closing down the CCD including media and evaluation team before further financial support for the period January to June 2012 be considered. She June 2012. She proposed that there should be review of the project conducted by an independent Charlotte Duncan supported the decision to close CCD and downsize the project prior to winding it up in

also relevant and important. project should help to revitalise public demand/pressure, the international community's support was Netra Timsina noted that the political situation was very complicated at the moment and while the

staffs both national and international staff by 36% in 2012. public consultation on draft constitution. He stressed that the project will reduce number of its for 2012 is \$1,482,790 without public consultation on draft constitution and \$1,882,790 with a of \$210,378 for 2011. He also presented the tentative budget for 2012. The tentative budget extended period with additional funding from UNDP. He stated that the project had a funding gap extension of the deadline the project had managed to continue its services and activities for the Jorn Sorensen presented a brief overview of the project's financial status. He noted that given the

should not be a burden on the project and noted that the previous review that he had initiated had accepted. stakeholder consultation that should be completed in not more than two weeks. This proposal was the next few months would involve considerable work for SPCBN/CCD and suggested a quick ended up being for more burdensome on the project and staff than anticipated. Robert Piper noted that to conduct a stakeholder consultation was discussed. Lars Christensen stated that the consultation The workplan for the rest of the year and the tentative workplan for 2012 were approved. The proposal

SUMMARY OF DECISIONS

- Agreed on the workplan for 2011 and the tentative plan for 2012.
- 2 auspices of the project. The project will end in June and be wound up by September 2012 Agreed to close CCD and move it to different location where similar work will be done under the
- w Agreed to conduct a light evaluation of the Project before the next PEB meeting in December
- 4. 2011. A request was made to the donors to indicate funding commitments for 2012 by November

Robert Piper

Resident Representative